

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2005

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/001,848	01,848 11/20/2001		Stewart D. Chipman	3081-A	1953	
22932	7590	02/25/2005		EXAMINER		
IMMUNEX			BELYAVSKYI, MICHAIL A			
LAW DEPA 1201 AMGE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SEATTLE, WA 98119				1644		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/001,848	CHIPMAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Michail A Belyavskyi	1644	

-									
	Michail A Belyavskyi	1644							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 21 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			takan da takan da						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).									
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as						
,	eal, but prior to the date of filing an	anneal brief. The Not	tice of Anneal						
The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 21 December 2004. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
AMENDMENTS									
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);									
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	d., atma, am atma 116 ilm.	46 - 1						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	aucing or simplifying	the issues for						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.							
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		00.00 0.0							
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	* **	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the						
non-allowable claim(s).	,	,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-6</u> .									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 7-21.									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).									
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by Applicant arguments have been fully considered, but hat claims which are not currently entered.									
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SR/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)							
13. Other:									
	•								
,									

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claim 1 " and induces cytokine production or calcium mobilization in natural killer cells, peripheral blood monocytes or monocytes when tested in an in vitro assay" raises new 112, second issue. It is unclear if Applicant means that administration of IMXP-888 induced cytokine production or calcium mobilization from recited cells in vivo, that is tested by in vitro assay or if said cells are first isolated from the mammal and then tested in an in vitro assay. Also it is unclear how to determine cytokine production in an in vitro assay if IMXP-888 was administered in vivo and induced cytokines were produced in vivo?

CHRISTINA CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600